

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	25 May 1998
Name of applicant/court:	Comptroller of Customs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Doppel Faust
Other Known Titles:	Hard Hands 6
Director:	Not Stated
Producer:	Not Stated
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Video Recording VHS/NTSC
Country of Origin:	Not Stated
Language:	German, English

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Doppel Faust	Running time:	82.13
Trailers:	Nil	Running time:	
		Total Running time:	82.13

Excision/Alteration: No.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

... Please turn over

Not Applicable.

Direction to issue a label has not been given.

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The video recording entitled *Doppel Faust*, also known as *Hard Hands 6*, is classified as:
Objectionable.

The video recording contains a feature *Doppel Faust* which is divided into two parts separated by three segments which appear to be advertisements for three sexually explicit films. The video recording presents depictions of sexual activity in an explicit manner. The feature is in German although there are occasional English voice-overs. The scenes of sexual activity are linked by a minimal scenario involving an acting school where women are taught how to be actresses and models in sexually explicit features.

The video recording contains several prolonged scenes showing people urinating over each other in the course of engaging in sexual activity. There are also several brief scenes of urination which are juxtaposed with scenes of sexual activity.

The effect of these depictions in this context is such that the video recording tends to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The video recording is therefore deemed to be objectionable under s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (the FVPC Act).

Five excisions to the video recording were offered, in order to allow the video recording to have the widest possible availability consistent with the provisions of the FVPC Act. However, the owner of the publication failed to respond within the specified period to the Classification Office's excision notice. Because the excisions were not agreed to, the publication tends to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct as outlined under s3(2)(d) of the FVPC Act. Because s3(2) is a deeming provision, it cannot be given a meaning consistent with the rights and freedoms contained in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 and it therefore overrides s14.

OFLC Ref: 9701053